

Devil's Gate Reservoir Sediment Removal and Management Project Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR)

State Clearinghouse No. 2011091084
October 2013

Prepared for:
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, California 91802-1460



Prepared by:



5 Hutton Centre Drive, Suite 750
Santa Ana, California 92707




TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	VIII
LIST OF TABLES	IX
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XIII
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	XVI
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
E.S.1 INTRODUCTION.....	ES-1
E.S.2 PROJECT SUMMARY	ES-1
E.S.2.3 Project Goals and Objectives	ES-3
E.S.2.4 Proposed Project Description, Configuration A.....	ES-4
E.S.3 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY/ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED	ES-9
E.S.4 POTENTIAL IMPACTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT	ES-9
E.S.5 SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	ES-10
E.S.6 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	ES-18
SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PURPOSE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.....	1
1.2 SCOPE OF THE EIR.....	2
1.3 DRAFT EIR ORGANIZATION	3
1.4 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY/ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED	4
1.5 AVAILABILITY OF THE DRAFT EIR	5
SECTION 2.0 – PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	7
2.1 PROJECT LOCATION	7
2.1.1 Location.....	7
2.1.2 Project Site.....	7
2.1.3 General Plan Designation/Zoning	7
2.1.4 LACFCD Devil's Gate Dam and Reservoir Easement	10
2.1.5 Hahamongna Watershed Park Master Plan.....	10
2.1.6 Surrounding Land Uses	10
2.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND.....	10
2.2.1 LACFCD History	10
2.3 PROJECT NEED	12
2.4 PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES.....	12
2.5 PROPOSED PROJECT DESCRIPTION, CONFIGURATION A.....	13
2.5.1 Sediment Removal Phase.....	13
2.5.2 Reservoir Management Phase	21

2.6	ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS	25
2.7	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	25
2.8	REQUIRED PERMITS AND APPROVALS.....	26
2.8.1	Lead Agency Approval.....	26
2.8.2	Other Required Permits and Approvals	26
2.8.3	Reviewing Agencies.....	26
2.9	CUMULATIVE SCENARIO	27
SECTION 3.0	– ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS	31
3.1	ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ADDRESSED	31
3.2	ORGANIZATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS	32
3.3	TERMINOLOGY USED IN THIS ANALYSIS	33
3.4	AESTHETICS.....	34
3.4.1	Introduction	34
3.4.2	Existing Environmental Setting	34
3.4.3	Applicable Regulations.....	48
3.4.4	Significance Criteria	49
3.4.5	Methodology.....	49
3.4.6	Impacts and Mitigation	53
3.5	AIR QUALITY.....	68
3.5.1	Introduction	68
3.5.2	Existing Environmental Setting	68
3.5.3	Applicable Regulations.....	75
3.5.4	Significance Criteria	80
3.5.5	Methodology.....	80
3.5.6	Impacts and Mitigation	82
3.6	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	95
3.6.1	Introduction	95
3.6.2	Existing Environmental Setting	95
3.6.3	Applicable Regulations.....	116
3.6.4	Significance Criteria	121
3.6.5	Methodology.....	122
3.6.6	Impacts and Mitigation	124
3.7	CULTURAL RESOURCES	132
3.7.1	Introduction	132
3.7.2	Existing Environmental Setting	132
3.7.3	Applicable Regulations.....	135
3.7.4	Significance Criteria	138
3.7.5	Methodology.....	138
3.7.6	Impacts and Mitigation	140
3.8	GEOLOGY AND SOILS	144
3.8.1	Introduction	144

3.8.2	Existing Environmental Setting	144
3.8.3	Applicable Regulations.....	144
3.8.4	Significance Criteria	145
3.8.5	Methodology.....	145
3.8.6	Impacts and Mitigation	145
3.9	GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	147
3.9.1	Introduction	147
3.9.2	Existing Environmental Setting	147
3.9.3	Applicable Regulations.....	148
3.9.4	Significance Criteria	151
3.9.5	Methodology.....	151
3.9.6	Impacts and Mitigation	152
3.10	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.....	157
3.10.1	Introduction	157
3.10.2	Existing Environmental Setting	157
3.10.3	Applicable Regulations.....	159
3.10.4	Significance Criteria	160
3.10.5	Methodology.....	161
3.10.6	Impacts and Mitigation	163
3.11	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.....	167
3.11.1	Introduction	167
3.11.2	Existing Environmental Setting	167
3.11.3	Applicable Regulations.....	174
3.11.4	Significance Criteria	177
3.11.5	Methodology.....	177
3.11.6	Impacts and Mitigation	177
3.12	LAND USE AND PLANNING	181
3.12.1	Introduction	181
3.12.2	Existing Environmental Setting	181
3.12.3	Applicable Regulations.....	182
3.12.4	Significance Criteria	184
3.12.5	Methodology.....	184
3.12.6	Impacts and Mitigation	184
3.13	MINERAL RESOURCES	186
3.13.1	Introduction	186
3.13.2	Existing Environmental Setting	186
3.13.3	Applicable Regulations.....	186
3.13.4	Significance Criteria	187
3.13.5	Methodology.....	187
3.13.6	Impacts and Mitigation	187
3.14	NOISE AND VIBRATION	190

3.14.1	Introduction	190
3.14.2	Existing Environmental Setting	190
3.14.3	Applicable Regulations.....	193
3.14.4	Significance Criteria	198
3.14.5	Methodology.....	199
3.14.6	Impacts and Mitigation	200
3.15	RECREATION/PUBLIC SERVICES	209
3.15.1	Introduction	209
3.15.2	Existing Environmental Setting	209
3.15.3	Applicable Regulations.....	219
3.15.4	Significance Criteria	221
3.15.5	Methodology.....	221
3.15.6	Impacts and Mitigation	221
3.16	TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC.....	227
3.16.1	Introduction	227
3.16.2	Existing Environmental Setting	227
3.16.3	Applicable Regulations.....	237
3.16.4	Significance Criteria	240
3.16.5	Methodology.....	241
3.16.6	Impacts and Mitigation	244
3.17	UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS	270
3.17.1	Introduction	270
3.17.2	Existing Environmental Setting	270
3.17.3	Applicable Regulations.....	271
3.17.4	Significance Criteria	272
3.17.5	Methodology.....	272
3.17.6	Impacts and Mitigation	272
SECTION 4.0 – ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS		274
4.1	INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW.....	274
4.2	PROJECT OBJECTIVES.....	275
4.3	ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....	275
4.4	ALTERNATIVE 1, CONFIGURATION B	288
4.4.1	Alternative Description	288
4.4.2	Alternative Duration	291
4.4.3	Impact Analyses and Comparison to Proposed Project.....	291
4.4.4	Conclusion and Relationship to Project Objectives	345
4.5	ALTERNATIVE 2, CONFIGURATION C	346
4.5.1	Alternative Description	346
4.5.2	Alternative Duration	350
4.5.3	Impact Analyses and Comparison to Proposed Project.....	350
4.5.4	Conclusion and Relationship to Project Objectives	403

4.6	ALTERNATIVE 3, CONFIGURATION D	403
4.6.1	Alternative Description	403
4.6.2	Alternative Duration	407
4.6.3	Impacts Analysis and Comparison to Proposed Project	407
4.6.4	Conclusion and Relationship to Project Objectives	468
4.7	ALTERNATIVE 4, SLUICING METHOD	469
4.7.1	Alternative Description	469
4.7.2	Alternative Duration	471
4.7.3	Impact Analyses and Comparison to Proposed Project.....	473
4.7.4	Conclusion and Relationship to Project Objectives	520
4.8	ALTERNATIVE 5, CONFIGURATION A, HAUL ROUTE ALTERNATIVE	521
4.8.1	Alternative Description	521
4.8.2	Alternative Duration	528
4.8.3	Impact Analyses and Comparison to Proposed Project.....	529
4.8.4	Conclusion and Relationship to Project Objectives	590
4.9	NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE.....	591
4.9.1	Alternative Description	591
4.9.2	Impact Analyses and Comparison to Proposed Project.....	592
4.9.3	Conclusion and Relationship to Project Objectives	624
4.10	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT NOT SELECTED FOR ANALYSIS	624
4.10.1	Conveyor Belt Alternative	624
4.10.2	Slurry Pipeline Alternative	625
4.10.3	Dam Removal Alternative	626
4.10.4	Upstream Sediment Management Alternative.....	626
4.11	ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE	626
	SECTION 5.0 – OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS	627
5.1	ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT.....	627
5.2	IRREVERSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES	627
5.3	GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS	628
5.3.1	Direct Growth-Inducing Impacts in the Surrounding Environment.....	628
5.3.2	Indirect Growth-Inducing Impacts in the Surrounding Environment	628
5.4	SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	629
	SECTION 6.0 – REFERENCES	630
	SECTION 7.0 – REPORT PREPARATION	634

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – INITIAL STUDY/NOTICE OF PREPARATION

APPENDIX B – AIR QUALITY REPORT

APPENDIX C – HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT

APPENDIX D – BIOLOGICAL REPORTS

APPENDIX E – CULTURAL RESOURCES REPORT

APPENDIX F – GEOCHEMICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION REPORT

APPENDIX G – GREENHOUSE GAS REPORT

APPENDIX H – EDR HAZARDOUS DATABASE SEARCH

APPENDIX I – NOISE IMPACT ANALYSIS

APPENDIX J – TRAFFIC REPORT

APPENDIX K – SEDIMENT TRANSPORT CAPACITY ANALYSIS

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
Table ES-1: Summary of Potential Significant Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	ES-11
Table ES-2: Proposed Project Alternatives and the Proposed Project	ES-19
Table ES-3: Summary Comparison of Potentially Significant Impacts of Proposed Project and Alternatives	ES-20
Table 2.9-1: Cumulative Projects	28
Table 3.1-1: Summary of Environmental Impacts Identified in the Initial Study.....	31
Table 3.4-1: Existing Visual Conditions	43
Table 3.4-2: Visual Impact Significance.....	53
Table 3.4-3: Sediment Removal Visual Change.....	54
Table 3.4-4: Sediment Removal Visual Impact Significance	56
Table 3.4-5: Reservoir Management Visual Change	58
Table 3.4-6: Reservoir Management Visual Impact Significance.....	59
Table 3.5-1: National and State Ambient Air Quality Standards	70
Table 3.5-2: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Summary	75
Table 3.5-3: Designations/Classifications for the SCAB	77
Table 3.5-4: Regional Thresholds of Significance.....	81
Table 3.5-5: SCAQMD Localized Thresholds for Construction.....	81
Table 3.5-6: Unmitigated Sediment Removal Emissions	85
Table 3.5-7: Sediment Removal Emissions with Model 2007 Sediment Removal Trucks and Tier 4 Interim Off-road Equipment.....	87
Table 3.5-8: Unmitigated Reservoir Management Activity	89
Table 3.5-9: Onsite Sediment Removal Emissions.....	91
Table 3.5-10: Onsite Reservoir Management Emissions	91
Table 3.5-11: CO Concentrations Summary.....	92
Table 3.6-1: Devil's Gate Reservoir 2013 Vegetation Communities.....	98

Table 3.6-2: Special Status Plant Species Known to Occur in Proposed Project Vicinity.....	102
Table 3.6-3: Special Status Animal Species Occurring in Proposed Project Vicinity.....	105
Table 3.6-4: Jurisdictional Acreage Matrix.....	129
Table 3.7-1: Technical Studies Conducted Within a 1-Mile Radius	139
Table 3.7-2: Historic Sites Recorded Within A 1-Mile Radius	139
Table 3.9-1: City of Pasadena 2007 Net Total Emissions	148
Table 3.9-2: Sediment Removal GHG Emissions.....	153
Table 3.9-3: Reservoir Management Activity GHG Emissions	154
Table 3.10-1: Results of EDR Database Search	158
Table 3.11-1: Analytical Results for Surface Water Survey.....	170
Table 3.11-2: General Water Quality Parameters for Surface Water Survey.....	171
Table 3.11-3: Potential Sources of Pollution.....	173
Table 3.11-4: TMDL Impairments	176
Table 3.14-1: Existing (Ambient) Noise Level Measurements	191
Table 3.14-2: Existing Roadway Noise Contours.....	192
Table 3.14-3– FTA Project Effects on Cumulative Noise Exposure	194
Table 3.14-4: Los Angeles County Exterior Noise Standards	196
Table 3.14-5: Noise Levels of Onsite Construction Equipment at Nearby Sensitive Receptors	201
Table 3.14-6: Project Traffic Noise Contributions Near Devil’s Gate Dam	202
Table 3.14-7: Project Traffic Noise Contributions to/from Manning Pit SPS.....	203
Table 3.14-8: Project Traffic Noise Contributions to/from Scholl Canyon Landfill.....	204
Table 3.14-9: Project Traffic Noise Contributions to/from Sheldon Pit, Sun Valley Fill Site, and Bradley Landfill.....	204
Table 3.14-10: Project Traffic Noise Contributions to/from Boulevard Pit SPS.....	205
Table 3.14-11: Vibration Impacts of Onsite Construction Equipment at Nearby Sensitive Receptors	206
Table 3.15-1: Area Recreational Facilities.....	213

Table 3.16-1: Project Haul Route Streets.....	228
Table 3.16-2: Critical Movement Analysis (CMA) –LOS and V/C Thresholds.....	242
Table 3.16-3: LOS for Devil's Gate Reservoir to/from I-210 (eastern disposal sites), Year 2014 with Project Traffic.....	246
Table 3.16-4: Devil's Gate Reservoir to/from I-210 (eastern disposal sites) AM Peak Period	247
Table 3.16-5: Alternative Haul Route to I-210 to Eastern Disposal Sites AM Peak Hour	248
Table 3.16-6: LOS for Devil's Gate Reservoir to/from I-210 (western disposal sites), Year 2014 with Project Traffic.....	248
Table 3.16-7: Devil's Gate Reservoir to/from I-210 (western disposal sites) AM Peak Period	249
Table 3.16-8: LOS for Manning Pit Area to/from I-210 Intersections, Year 2014 with Project Traffic	250
Table 3.16-9: Manning Pit Area to/from I-210, AM Peak Period.....	250
Table 3.16-10: LOS for Waste Management Facility to/from I-210 Intersections, Year 2014 with Project Traffic	251
Table 3.16-11: Waste Management to/from I-210, AM Peak Period.....	252
Table 3.16-12: LOS for Vulcan Material Reliance Facility to/from I-210 Intersections, Year 2014 with Project Traffic.....	252
Table 3.16-13: Vulcan Material Reliance Facility to/from I-210, PM Peak Period.....	253
Table 3.16-14: LOS for Scholl Canyon Landfill to/from SR-134 Intersections, Year 2014 with Project Traffic	254
Table 3.16-15: Scholl Canyon Landfill to/from SR-134, AM Peak Hours	254
Table 3.16-16: Scholl Canyon Landfill to/from SR-134, PM Peak Hours.....	255
Table 3.16-17: LOS for Sheldon Pit to/from I-210 Intersections, Year 2014 with Project Traffic.....	256
Table 3.16-18: Sheldon Pit to/from I-210, AM Peak Hours	256
Table 3.16-19: Sheldon Pit to/from I-210, PM Peak Hours.....	258
Table 3.16-20: LOS for Sun Valley Fill Site to/from I-210 Intersections, Year 2014 with Project Traffic..	259
Table 3.16-21: Sun Valley Fill Site to/from I-210, AM Peak Hours	260
Table 3.16-22: Sun Valley Fill Site to/from I-210, PM Peak Hours.....	261
Table 3.16-23: LOS for Bradley Landfill to/from I-210 Intersections, Year 2014 with Project Traffic	262

Table 3.16-24: Bradley Landfill to/from I-210, AM Peak Hours.....	263
Table 3.16-25: Bradley Landfill to/from I-210, PM Peak Hours	264
Table 3.16-26: LOS for Boulevard Pit to/from I-210, Year 2014 with Project Traffic	265
Table 3.16-27: Boulevard Pit to/from I-210, PM Peak Hours	266
Table 4.3-1: Comparison of Alternatives	277
Table 4.4-1: Alternative 1, Configuration B, Sediment Removal Impacts to Vegetation Communities ...	303
Table 4.4-2: Alternative 1 Onsite Construction Equipment Noise Levels at Nearby Sensitive Receptors	329
Table 4.5-1: Alternative 2, Configuration C Sediment Removal Impacts to Vegetation Communities	361
Table 4.5-2: Alternative 2 Onsite Construction Equipment Noise Levels at Nearby Sensitive Receptors	387
Table 4.6-1: Visual Analysis – Sediment Removal Visual Change	409
Table 4.6-2: Sediment Removal Visual Impact Significance	410
Table 4.6-3: Visual Analysis – Reservoir Management Visual Change.....	411
Table 4.6-4: Reservoir Management Visual Impact Significance.....	417
Table 4.6-5: Alternative 3, Configuration D, Sediment Removal Impacts to Vegetation Communities...	427
Table 4.6-6: Alternative 3 Onsite Construction Equipment Noise Levels at Nearby Sensitive Receptors	453
Table 4.7-1: Alternative 4 Onsite Construction Equipment Noise Levels at Nearby Sensitive Receptors	507
Table 4.8-1: Alternative 5 Onsite Construction Equipment Noise Levels at Nearby Sensitive Receptors	561
Table 4.8-2: Alternative Haul Route Streets	570
Table 4.8-3 : Alternative Haul Route to I-210 to Eastern Disposal Sites.....	572
Table 4.8-4: Alternative Haul Route to I-210 to Eastern Disposal Sites AM Peak Hour	572
Table 4.8-5: Alternative Haul Route to I-210 to Western Disposal Sites	573
Table 4.8-6: Alternative Haul Route to I-210 to Western Disposal Sites AM Peak Hour.....	574

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
Figure ES-1: Proposed Project Location and Vicinity Map.....	ES-2
Figure ES-2: Proposed Project, Configuration A Excavation Area and Entire Configuration A Management Area, Option 1.....	ES-5
Figure ES-3: Proposed Project, Configuration A Excavation Area and Reduced Management Area, Option 2	ES-8
Figure ES-4: Alternative Configurations, Alternatives 1 through 3.....	ES-21
Figure ES-5: Alternative Configurations, Alternatives 4 through 6.....	ES-22
Figure 1.1-1: The Environmental Review Process.....	1
Figure 2.1-1: Proposed Project Location and Vicinity Map.....	8
Figure 2.1-2: Sediment and Vegetation Disposal Sites Map.....	9
Figure 2.5-1: Proposed Project, Configuration A Excavation Area and Configuration A Management Area, Option 1	17
Figure 2.5-2: Haul Routes to Irwindale/Azusa Disposal Sites	18
Figure 2.5-3: Haul Routes to Sun Valley Disposal Sites.....	19
Figure 2.5-4: Haul Route to Scholl Canyon Landfill.....	20
Figure 2.5-5: Proposed Project, Configuration A Excavation Area and Reduced Management Area, Option 2	23
Figure 2.5-6: Access Roads Map	24
Figure 2.9-1: Cumulative Projects Map.....	30
Figure 3.4-1: Devil's Gate Reservoir View, May 2003.....	36
Figure 3.4-2: Devil's Gate Reservoir View, February 2010	37
Figure 3.4-3: Devil's Gate Reservoir View, February 2011	38
Figure 3.4-4: Devil's Gate Proposed Viewpoints.....	40
Figure 3.4-5: Viewpoint 1 Existing Conditions	42
Figure 3.4-6: Viewpoint 2 Existing Conditions	44
Figure 3.4-7: Viewpoint 3 Existing Conditions	45

Figure 3.4-8: Viewpoint 4 Existing Conditions	46
Figure 3.4-9: Viewpoint 5 Existing Conditions	47
Figure 3.4-10: Viewpoint 1 Reservoir Management Conditions.....	63
Figure 3.4-11: Viewpoint 2 Reservoir Management Conditions.....	64
Figure 3.4-12: Viewpoint 3 Reservoir Management Conditions.....	65
Figure 3.4-13: Viewpoint 4 Reservoir Management Conditions.....	66
Figure 3.6-1: Devil's Gate Vegetation Communities (2010)	96
Figure 3.6-2: Devil's Gate Vegetation Communities (2013)	97
Figure 3.6-3: USACE/RWQCB Jurisdictional Areas Map.....	117
Figure 3.6-4: CDFW Jurisdictional Areas Map.....	118
Figure 3.6-5: Vegetation Communities Conditions during Reservoir Management Option 2.....	126
Figure 3.10-1: Soil Boring Locations.....	162
Figure 3.11-1: Water Quality Sampling Locations	169
Figure 3.14-1: Land Use Compatibility Matrix	195
Figure 3.15-1: Area Recreational Facilities	217
Figure 3.15-2: Devil's Gate Area Trails.....	218
Figure 3.15-3: Devil's Gate Area Impacts to Designated Trails.....	223
Figure 4.4-1: Alternative 1, Configuration B Sediment Removal and Management Areas	289
Figure 4.4-2: Alternative 1, Configuration B Sediment Removal Vegetation Communities Impacts	304
Figure 4.4-3: Alternative 1, Configuration B Expected Vegetation Communities Under Reservoir Management.....	306
Figure 4.4-4: Alternative 1, Configuration B Impacted Water Features.....	310
Figure 4.4-5: Alternative 1, Configuration B Impacts to Designated Trails.....	334
Figure 4.5-1: Alternative 2, Configuration C Sediment Removal and Reservoir Management Areas	347
Figure 4.5-2: Alternative 2, Configuration C Sediment Removal Vegetation Communities Impacts	362

Figure 4.5-3: Alternative 2, Configuration C Expected Vegetation Communities Under Reservoir Management..... 364

Figure 4.5-4: Alternative 2, Configuration C Impacted Water Features 368

Figure 4.5-5: Alternative 2, Configuration C Impacts to Designated Trails..... 392

Figure 4.6-1: Alternative 3, Configuration D Sediment Removal and Reservoir Management Areas..... 405

Figure 4.6-2: Alternative 3, Configuration D Viewpoint 1 Reservoir Management Conditions 413

Figure 4.6-3: Alternative 3, Configuration D Viewpoint 2 Reservoir Management Conditions 414

Figure 4.6-4: Alternative 3, Configuration D Viewpoint 3 Reservoir Management Conditions 415

Figure 4.6-5: Alternative 3, Configuration D Viewpoint 4 Reservoir Management Conditions 416

Figure 4.6-6: Alternative 3, Configuration D Sediment Removal Vegetation Communities Impacts..... 428

Figure 4.6-7: Alternative 3, Configuration D Conditions Under Reservoir Management..... 430

Figure 4.6-8: Alternative 3, Configuration D Impacted Water Features..... 433

Figure 4.6-9: Alternative 3, Configuration D Impacts to Designated Trails 458

Figure 4.7-1: Alternative 4, Sluicing Sediment Removal and Reservoir Management Areas..... 472

Figure 4.8-1: Haul Route To and From Proposed Site and I-210 Alternative..... 524

Figure 4.8-2: Haul Route To and From Manning Pit and I-210 Alternative 525

Figure 4.8-3: Haul Route Alternatives To and From Sun Valley Sites 526

Figure 4.8-4: Haul Route Alternative To Scholl Canyon Landfill 527